

EXECUTIVE SESSION – September 19, 2017

On a motion duly made by Councilmember L. C. Metzner and seconded by Councilmember E. Keller, the Mayor and City Council unanimously agreed by voice vote of all members present to meet in closed session to consider a matter that concerns the proposal for a business or industrial organization to locate, expand, or remain in the State; #4, (Section 3-305(b)), and to consider the acquisition of real property for a public purpose and matters directly related thereto, #3, (Section 3-305(b)), on Tuesday, September 19, 2017 at 3:02 p.m. in the Council Chamber, 2nd floor, City Hall, Hagerstown, Maryland.

The following people were in attendance: Mayor R. E. Bruchey, II, Councilmember K. B. Aleshire, Councilmember P. D. Corderman, Councilmember E. Keller, Councilmember L. C. Metzner, City Administrator Valerie Means, Michael Spiker, Director of Utilities, Michelle Hepburn, Director of Finance, Fire Chief Steven Lohr, Jill Thompson, Director of Community and Economic Development, Jonathan Kerns, Community Development Manager, and D. K. Spickler, City Clerk. Councilmember D. F. Munson was not present. Councilmember Aleshire was not present at the time of the vote.

The meeting was held to discuss a proposal for a property in the CNS program, and to discuss two separate business proposals. No formal action was taken at the meeting. On a motion duly made, seconded, and passed, the Executive Session was adjourned at 3:59 p.m.

WORK SESSION – September 19, 2017

Mayor R. E. Bruchey, II called this Work Session of the Mayor and City Council to order at 4:05 p.m., Tuesday, September 19, 2017 in the Council Chamber at City Hall. Present with the Mayor were Councilmembers K. B. Aleshire, P. D. Corderman, E. Keller, and L. C. Metzner, City Administrator Valerie Means, and City Clerk D. K. Spickler. Councilmember D. F. Munson was not present.

Joint Meeting with Planning Commission – City Comprehensive Plan Update

The Planning Commission met with the Mayor and City Council to discuss the Comp Plan update, *visionHagerstown 2035*. The purpose of the meeting was to share input received during the 60 day public review period and the Planning Commission's public hearing and for the Mayor and City Council to offer any input they have for the Commission at this point on the draft plan.

Doug Wright, Planning Commission Chairman, and Commission members Jim Stone, Dennis Miller, Ron Thomas, and Judy Wheeler were present.

Kathleen Maher, Director of Planning and Code Administration, reminded the group of the Comprehensive Plan, *visionHagerstown 2035*, is the City's official statement of

policies directing the physical, social, and economic development of Hagerstown for the next 20 years. Maryland State Law requires municipalities to have a comprehensive plan to be reviewed every 10 years. The Plan covers topics including Land Use, Transportation, Housing and Neighborhoods, Community Facilities, Economic Development, and Water and Environmental Resources. The Plan incorporates planning efforts done since 2008 while addressing Hagerstown's emerging challenges and building on its successes.

The draft went out for the State Clearinghouse Review on June 8, 2017. The Planning Commission held a public review meeting on August 30, 2017.

Since the State Clearinghouse Review, staff have received written comments from 4 State agencies and a request for expansion of the MRGA boundary in the Huyett's Crossroads area by Bowman Development.

The Planning Commission reviewed Bowman's MRGA request on September 13, 2017 and voted to recommend that revision to the plan. The Planning Commission will begin their review of the State comments at their September 27, 2017 meeting.

Prior to the issuance of the plan for the State Clearinghouse Review, staff developed an online survey to gather community input for the brand themes that *visionHagerstown 2035* address. The five themed surveys were released during the week of March 21st and were distributed through the City's social platforms, a City-maintained email distribution listserv that reached over 500 citizens, through the Neighborhoods 1st and Main Street groups, a *visionHagerstown 2035* plan webpage on the City's website, as well as a media press release. Over 1,300 citizens responded to the survey. Staff reviewed the input and presented common themes to the Planning Commission on May 10, 2017.

Major themes of the Plan include the following:

1. Future Land Use – assess rationality of existing zoning, provide recommendations for comprehensive rezoning, emphasis on business employment
2. Growth and annexation – strengthen the city, maximize efficiency of services, provide rational City boundary
3. Revitalization of Downtown – reinforce downtown's role as region's center for government, economic activity, education, and culture, and enlarge tourism activity
4. Neighborhood Revitalization- increase home-ownership; protect from blight; de-concentrate subsidized housing
5. Revitalization of vacant and under-utilized land in the City – attract new residents and businesses, enhance fiscal foundation, broaden economic base
6. Transportation – alleviate traffic concerns; accommodate future growth; continue alternative or enhanced systems for biking, walking, and transit
7. Parks and Recreation – assess areas under-served by parks to plan for future parks; protect natural buffer; improve public access to Antietam
8. Community Facilities – maintain and expand public safety services; support civic institutions that add to quality of life; support expansion of school services, support expansion of high-speed broadband network

9. Water and Wastewater – plan for efficient growth of water and wastewater systems; prioritize areas for growth; reduce impervious coverage and improve stormwater impact on waterways
10. Environmental Resources and Sustainability – explore practices to protect water and air quality; encourage green infrastructure; implement practices consistent with Sustainable Maryland designation

Once the Planning Commission considers all input received and is satisfied that concerns/issues are addressed, they will make a recommendation to the Mayor and Council. Staff will then have a Public Hearing with the Mayor and Council during the Fall of 2017. In accordance with State Law, once the Planning Commission makes their recommendation on *visionHagerstown 2035*, the Mayor and Council have 90 days (with one optional 60 day extension) to hold a Public Hearing and take one of three actions – approve, deny, or make changes to be remanded to the Planning Commission. If changes are made, the Planning Commission must review and hold its own Public Hearing before returning the Plan to the Mayor and Council for approval or denial. If the Mayor and Council fails to take any action within 90 days, the Comprehensive Plan is deemed approved under State Law.

Future Comprehensive Rezoning is consistent with prior comprehensive rezoning and with other plans since 2008 and changes to properties. There is an emphasis on business employment.

Proposed boundary revisions for the Medium Range Growth Boundary include realigning the boundary to include areas around the city where growth is more likely to occur within the next 20 years and to remove lands that lack infrastructure and are likely to remain agricultural through the next two years. The boundary is developed based on analysis of capacity and demand for service from new and redevelopment of land and then project what will occur over the next 20 years in and around the city. The MRGA is smaller than the Urban Growth Area (Long-Range Growth Area) due to limits on water/wastewater capacity.

As noted previously, a request was made to expand the MRGA to property at Huyett's Crossroads. It was the general consensus of the Mayor and City Council to include that revision in the plan.

The Plan also includes recommended road improvements and identifies areas for future parks.

Councilmember Metzner thanked staff and the Planning Commission for the amount of work that has been completed for the update. Ms. Maher noted the work has been done in-house. Ms. Means stated this work is usually completed by a contractor. She commended staff for their efforts.

Councilmember Corderman asked how citizens' concerns submitted in the survey will be addressed. Ms. Maher indicated all comments were reviewed and acknowledged. A listing could be included in the appendix.

Councilmember Corderman wondered how to address zoning so there is not a repeat of the McCleary Hill project. He is concerned about the concentration of subsidized housing. Ways of growing, without growing in the wrong direction, have to be looked at.

Ms. Maher noted Comprehensive Rezoning does not deal with income level of residents.

Councilmember Corderman suggested reviewing areas where high density residential uses are permitted and make changes, if necessary.

Mayor Bruchey suggested having a separate discussion of the zoning portion of the Comprehensive Plan update.

Councilmember Aleshire stated zoning maps don't provide information about the make-up of the neighborhood. Any use of property should be reviewed for how it will impact the property taxes. This dynamic should be considered when reviewing plans for developments.

Ms. Maher noted the Hagerstown Housing Authority will be paying taxes on the McCleary Hill project. They will be taxed at the rate the State Department of Assessments and Taxation sets.

Mr. Wright asked the Mayor and City Council to review the Comp Plan update and provide comments to the Planning Commission as soon as possible so approval of the plan proceeds smoothly.

Preliminary Agenda Review

Approval of an Ordinance for the Quit Claim at the Hagerstown Rescue Mission will be added to the September 26, 2017 agenda.

Consent Agenda

A. Fire Department:

1. Rescue Lifting Equipment for Aerial Ladder Truck – Fire & Rescue Products (Harrisburg, PA) \$ 23,737.00
2. Hydraulic Equipment for Aerial Ladder Truck – Municipal Emergency Services, Inc. (Lanham, MD) \$ 49,900.00

B. Police Department

1. Monthly Delinquent Citation Collections – July, 2017 to June, 2018 – Brekford (Hanover, MD) \$ 60,000.00
2. Five 2018 Ford Interceptor SUVs – Keystone Ford (Chambersburg, PA) \$ 216,290.10

C. Utilities:

1. Water – Monitor and Lids – HD Supply Waterworks (Martinsburg, WV) \$ 19,388.40
2. Water - Smithsburg Tank Rehab – Mid-Atlantic Storage Systems, Inc.

- (Washington Ct. Hs, OH) \$ 38,279.00
3. Wastewater - John Deere Ztrak Mower – Smith’s Implements, Inc.
(Hagerstown, MD) \$ 8,511.58
 4. Wastewater - UV Motor Actuator – Tri-State Technical Sales Corporation
(Malvern, PA) \$ 21,146.25

There were no questions about any item on the Consent Agenda.

Approval of Required Match for Maryland Community Legacy Grant for City of Hagerstown Down Payment Programs

Mayor and Council approval is needed to utilize \$ 50,000 from the Economic Redevelopment Fund of the City’s down payment assistance programs. This funding will serve as a required match to the \$ 50,000 Community Legacy Grant that was awarded to the City at the end of Fiscal Year 2017. Funding is available in the FY2018 Economic Redevelopment Fund budget for this purpose. The combined \$ 100,000 in funding would replenish the City-Wide Down Payment/Rehab Program and the City Center Down Payment/Rehab Program.

Approval of Contract: EWI Project – EMH Environmental

The Mayor and Council originally approved this project and project finding in July 2016. As required by Maryland Department of the Environment and City Purchasing Policy, the project was advertised and bids were received on July 18, 2017. The City, with the assistance of Hazen and Sawyer, reviewed the Bids/Proposals for conformance with all bid requirements and EMH Environmental Inc. was the lowest responsive bidder with a bid of \$ 1,558,540. This bid was below the engineer’s pre-bid estimate.

Approval of Contract: Tank Mixing Project – Carl Belt, Inc.

This project includes the purchase and installation of tank mixing systems in four of the finished water tanks. To continue to achieve compliance with the Stage 2 DBPR2 – this project builds on the existing work that has been completed in the Phase IV-A and Phase IV-B improvements at the R. C. Willson plant.

Bids were received on July 18, 2017. The engineer's estimate for the project was \$ 382,000 and the lowest responsive bidder was Carl Belt, Inc. with a base bid of \$ 404,500 and a total bid of \$ 409,000 (total bid includes the contingency items that may be encountered during the construction phase).

This completed the Preliminary Agenda review. There were no additional comments or questions regarding the items on the Preliminary Agenda. All items are scheduled for approval on September 26, 2017.

Policy on Dogs in Parks

Rodney Tissue, City Engineer, was present to provide a follow-up to the Council's comments a few weeks ago about the current policy of the City with respect to dogs in parks. The following is background information on this matter:

1. In October, 2013, the Board of County Commissioners passed an Ordinance for the control of animals in Washington County. Section 27b of said Ordinance requires that dogs be on a leash.
2. Section 87-1 of the Code of Hagerstown states that the City "hereby adopts the Animal Control Ordinance" of the County and request that the County enforce the provisions of the Ordinance within the Corporate limits of the City. Based on this, for the last several years staff has allowed dogs in the parks with conditions.

Dogs are permitted in all City parks provided they abide by the Washington County Animal Control Ordinance and the City's rules.

Dogs and their custodians must obey the Animal Control Ordinance as adopted by Washington County or be subject to the penalties/fines in that ordinance. The following guidelines are currently in place:

1. Dog owners/custodians are legally responsible for their dogs and any injuries caused by their dogs.
2. Dog owners/custodians must have control of their dogs at all times.
3. Dogs must be leashed at all times and leashes are not to be longer than 6 feet.
4. Dog owners/custodians must remain in the permitted perimeter areas of the park.
5. Dog owners/custodians must immediately clean up after their dogs.
6. Dogs with a known history or who exhibit dangerous behavior are prohibited.
7. Dogs must be healthy, fully immunized, de-wormed, licensed and wearing ID tags.
8. Children under age 12 that are custodians for a dog must be closely supervised by an adult.
9. Dogs in heat are prohibited.
10. Only two dogs per custodian are allowed.

Councilmember Aleshire noted dangerous dogs are prohibited. He is concerned that another entity (the Humane Society) is making a determination that a dog is dangerous. Mr. Tissue suggested this may be the appropriate time to review the City's animal control regulations. The ordinance was written 16 years ago.

Councilmember Aleshire suggested that dogs be allowed on the Cultural Trail, but only on the sidewalks. Councilmember Corderman stated he is concerned that people are encouraged to use the Cultural Trail and then they can't take their dogs into City Park. He feels the dog clean up stations invite people to bring their dogs.

Councilmember Corderman suggested allowing dogs in the park, beyond the current restrictions on a temporary basis.

Councilmember Keller expressed her concern that more staff will be required to monitor a change in the policy.

It was the general consensus to keep the guidelines for dogs in parks as they are.
Reconstruction of Pangborn Park Pond and Stabilization of Hamilton Run

Rodney Tissue, City Engineer, and Keith Moore, Frederick, Seibert and Associates, were present to discuss the reconstruction of Pangborn Park Pond and stabilization of Hamilton Run. Pangborn Park was developed by the Pangborn Corporation in the early 1900s. Through the generosity of Thomas and John Pangborn, the seven and one-half acre tract of land was given to the city in November of 1935. Under the terms of the conveyance, the City has to retain the land as a park.

The park includes a 1.1 acre pond. Staff believe the City oversaw the construction of the pond in the 1930s as part of a Works Progress Administration project. In 1990, the City dredged the pond and made other repairs. The pond was formerly used for ice skating in the winter. With respect to fishing, according to the Department of Natural Resources, the rules for Pangborn pond are very specific ... only people that are under age 16, over age 65, or blind may fish there year-round. No license is required for those under age 16 and no license is required for those groups on the designated "Maryland Free Fishing Days".

In the last ten years, the condition of the pond has deteriorated significantly. Issues include the following:

1. Sedimentation in the pond yields a water depth of only a few inches on the north end. Unlike City Park Lake, this pond is primarily fed by Hamilton Run and is therefore subject to the constant deposition of sediments, especially in storm events. Staff believes the inlet can be redesigned to minimize the sediment load into the pond.
2. The perimeter walls are deteriorating. Originally these appear to be stone walls but at some point were covered with concrete/cement.
3. The "scum" drain system on the east side of the lake has completely failed.
4. The outlet structure is deteriorated and doesn't meet current pond safety design standards.

For these reasons, Mr. Tissue recommend that the City reconstruct the lake in 2018. The goal of the project is to dredge about 3,000 cubic yards of sediment from the lake, replace the pond's inlet and outlet structures, restore the adjacent stream, and obtain TMDL credits.

A more ecological solution is being proposed to address this deteriorating infrastructure.

The concept plan includes the following enhancements:

1. Dredging and removing up to five feet of sediment
2. Replacing the outlet structure
3. Replacing the inlet structure since it allows sediment into the pond and more importantly the structure appears to take too much flow from Hamilton Run.

4. Restoring Hamilton Run since it is experiencing bank erosion on the west bank. The east bank is composed of a stone wall which is in various states of deterioration. The consultant recommends removing portions of this wall and returning the channel to a more natural condition. The project also includes replacing any invasive trees along Hamilton Run and installing grade control structures on Hamilton Run.
5. The City stocks the pond for fishing so there needs to be accessible designated fishing locations or cease this practice altogether.
6. Creating a more natural shoreline by removing most of the walls, tapering the edge of the lake and planting the shoreline with native trees, shrubs, and wetland plants.
7. Adding accessible pedestrian access to the lake from the Pangborn Blvd. sidewalk.

Councilmember Metzner suggested looking at a mix of natural shoreline and stone wall.

Councilmember Keller wondered why the pond doesn't freeze so people could ice skate on the pond. Mr. Tissue stated the water flow was stopped which allowed the pond to freeze. This has not been done for some time but it could be started again.

Councilmember Aleshire is not in favor of a natural edge because it could make the area look like a stormwater management pond.

Mr. Moore indicated the concept plan includes an island in the middle of the lake to create a diversion from the run to alleviate the sediment deposit. Councilmember Metzner wondered if there could be a separate pond to collect the sediment. Councilmember Aleshire would rather dredge the entire lake than have a shallow pond.

The estimated cost of the project is \$ 1 million to \$ 1.5 million.

Mr. Tissue clarified that the consensus of the Mayor and City Council to keep a hard edge around the majority of the pond. This is correct.

Trash and Recycling Collection Services – Contracts 17-RR-12

Rodney Tissue, City Engineer, was present to discuss the trash recycling collection services. The contract with Waste Management for the collection of curbside trash and recycling expires at the end of this calendar year. This contract has been in effect for six years, and based on Mayor and Council direction in March and May, staff bid a new collection and disposal contract.

In anticipation of the contract ending and with the desire to improve the City's solid waste collection program, the Mayor and Council also directed staff to request bids on additional enhancements to the current program. The overall goal of the program is to yield a state-of-the-practice, environmentally-friendly program while offering it at reasonable rates to residents.

The trash and recycling collection bids were received on Wednesday, September 6, 2017 and three bids were received. A spreadsheet of all the bid data was provided to the Mayor and City Council via the meeting packet for their review. As discussed with the Mayor and Council in March, the award should be based on the lowest responsible price of the aggregate sum of the residential collection service and the cost of disposal based on 10,500 tons of waste.

Per the Bid Tabulation, the low bidder is Waste Management and the analysis focuses on their prices. As predicted, the cost of services is increasing due to the following:

1. A drop in the value of recyclables (in 2011, possessors paid Waste Management \$10 per ton for recyclables, now they pay to get the items separated and processed. The difference is about a \$ 25/ton increase in costs).
2. In 2011, they bid yard waste collection assuming only a driver and no helper. However, program participation is higher than anticipated and each truck generally needs a person to load. Although the City enjoyed an “artificially” low price for six years, the new contract reflects their actual cost.
3. They are eliminating their use of temporary employment agency labor in favor of hiring their own staff. This costs more but Mr. Tissue believes it will improve customer service.

The cost of the current program is \$ 128.67 per residential unit per year. Currently, every resident is charged \$ 156.00 annually to cover these collection, and disposal costs and other costs including administration, inspection, electronics collection event and recycling in city buildings. To maintain the current program, the City will need to increase collection fees about \$ 34.00 annually. The City has not permanently increased fees since 2007.

Effective January 1, 2018, glass will no longer be accepted as a recyclable and must go into the trash. This will increase the landfill disposal costs. Recycling processors have no market for glass, it contaminates other materials, and it damages sorting equipment. A focused education program will be needed for this issue and on decreasing contamination of recyclables with trash.

Based on direction from Mayor and Council in May, the following “add alternate” options were included in the bid for consideration:

A. Possible Service Enhancements:

1. Add Alternate 1 – Trash Collection from Alleys in Zone F – In an effort to minimize trash on downtown streets, this contract item consists of collection of trash from alleys in the downtown Zone “F”.

To complete this work Waste Management indicates they will purchase three small pick-up sized trucks (two for garbage and one for recycling) that hold about two tons each. The bid from Waste Management for this item is \$ 51,264.00 annually or \$ 3.53 spread over every residential unit. The next low bid was much higher. This is a significant cost and this approach will present challenges as many properties do not have alley access. An Alley Accessibility Map was provided showing the parcels that lack alley access. Staff foresees other issues related to alley

collection. This would be quite a public relations challenge to implement and enforce. Alleys are not currently treated in winter.

As an alternative, consider purchasing and issuing trash totes in Zone F and let the residents continue to set them on the main streets. Trash that is in uniform containers appears better than trash that is loose or in bags. Staff suggest that green or brown totes be issued for garbage to the properties in the downtown Zone F. Zone F would require about 1,000 totes and the one-time cost is estimated at \$ 55,000.00. There is no additional cost for collecting from the totes. Staff would request using the current competitively bid tote vendor so there is only one tote management system to operate.

2. Add Alternate 2 – Recycling Collection from Alleys in Zone F – In an effort to minimize recycling totes on downtown streets, this contract item consists of collection of recycling totes from alleys in the downtown Zone F. As stated above, Waste Management indicates they will purchase a small pick-up sized truck to complete this work.

The bid from Waste Management for this item is \$ 26,232.00 annually or \$ 1.81 spread over every residential unit. Staff would only recommend accepting the Add Alternate if Add Alternate #1 is accepted.

3. Add Alternate 3 – Annual Electronics Recycling Event – This item is to provide management and labor for Electronics Recycling Collection Event. The Event typically collects two tractor-trailer loads of items. Vendor's staff will sort, package and load all material that vendor removes from attendees' vehicles. Upon conclusion of the Collection Event, e-waste collected will be transported directly to a certified processing location.

The bid from Waste Management for each event is \$ 16,696 or only \$ 1.15 per residential unit. The 2014 and 2016 events were very popular with residents and the City obtained grants from the Maryland Department of the Environment to partially fund the program. Staff recommends accepting this service enhancement. An event this November is included in the budget, if the Council and Waste Management agree to proceed.

4. Add Alternate 4 – Annual Dumpster Event – This item is to provide management and labor for a two-day bulk trash collection program designed for residents of Hagerstown or City property owners. No commercial hauler's items will be accepted. All items shall be transported directly to a landfill and disposed of in an approved manner.

The bid from Waste Management for this event is \$ 13,839.60 or \$0.95 per residential unit. This assumes a cap of 40 tons, based on past events, to keep the costs manageable. As an alternative, staff suggests also considering a voucher program that allows citizens to take excess

trash/bulky items directly to the Washington County landfill and not to the City's dumpsters at a discount or free of charge and the landfill would bill the City. At this point staff recommend not approving this alternative until more feedback from the landfill on a possible voucher program is available.

- B. Commercial Collection – The cost of these services is also increasing. The Bid Analysis chart presents a comparison of Waste Management's low bid with the current prices. The City currently charges \$ 200.00 annually for commercial trash and recycling collection (or \$ 100.00 for recycling only). This rate does not have to increase and the City can absorb the increased costs since there is such a small number of commercial units serviced.

In general, the bid results are what was expected and provides the Mayor and Council the opportunity to improve the program as they see fit.

Staff recommends continuing with the residential and commercial programs as described above. This means a \$ 34.00 annual fee increase per residential unit effective January 1, 2018. Staff also recommends including the Electronics Recycling event in the new contract.

The RecycleBank incentive program is used by approximately 30% of customers. Councilmember Metzner stated he does not think the program encourages recycling. He recommended eliminating the program.

Councilmember Corderman suggested a six-month trial for alley pick up in Zone F. Just because it hasn't been done doesn't mean it won't work. People without alley access could take their trash to a central location.

Councilmember Keller pointed out that alleys are not plowed in the winter. She doesn't think alley pick up will work. She noted the challenges with getting residents to put trash out for pick up on a schedule. She stated it is a great idea in theory, but many residents don't have direct alley access.

Councilmember Aleshire stated any totes provided would have to be closed so wind didn't blow trash out of them.

Mayor Bruchey stated when he mentioned alley pick up previously, he was talking about Washington and Franklin Streets. He wants people traveling through Hagerstown to see clean sidewalks, not trash piled up for pick up. Something has to be done about the trash on the streets. He stated people can get through the snow in the alleys, even if they aren't plowed.

A representative from Waste Management stated they do pick up trash from alleys, but it is definitely a challenge.

Councilmember Corderman asked if anyone is interested in discussing a main thoroughfare collection point.

Councilmember Metzner suggested looking at the alleys in Zone F before making a decision about alley pick up.

In summary, it was the general consensus of the Mayor and City Council to approve the base contract with Waste Management for trash and recycling pick up and the electronic recycling event. The RecycleBank program will be discontinued. A dumpster program will be discussed after more information is provided. Alley pick up for Zone F will be discussed further.

CITY ADMINISTRATOR'S COMMENTS

Valerie Means, City Administrator, congratulated everyone involved with the Fall Fest and Porch Fest events.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL COMMENTS

Councilmember L. C. Metzner had no additional comments.

Councilmember P. D. Corderman is in favor of sending a letter to the Hagerstown Housing Authority opposing the planned McCleary-Hill project. Councilmember Metzner and Councilmember Keller are not in favor of sending a letter. Councilmember Aleshire stated the Council can send his original letter again. After discussing the letter, and Mayor Bruchey supporting sending the letter, the consensus of the Mayor and Council is to send a letter opposing the development. Councilmember Corderman was asked to draft the letter.

Councilmember K. B. Aleshire mentioned the new park on Washington Street is great as a park. There was an incident there recently because kids are using the equipment as a ramp/jump. The age limit for the climbing sphere is not being followed.

Councilmember E. Keller had no additional comments.

Mayor R. E. Bruchey, II had no additional comments.

There being no further business to come before the Mayor and City Council, on a motion duly made, seconded, and passed, the meeting was adjourned at 6:19 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Original signed by D. K. Spickler

Donna K. Spickler
City Clerk

Approved: October 24, 2017