

Mayor R. E. Bruchey, II called this Work Session of the Mayor and City Council to order at 3:00 p.m., Tuesday, April 18, 2017, in the Council Chamber at City Hall. Present with the Mayor were Councilmembers K. B. Aleshire, P. D. Corderman, E. Keller, L. C. Metzner, and D. F. Munson, City Administrator Valerie Means, and City Clerk D. K. Spickler.

Special Recognition: Hagerstown Police Department – Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, Inc. (CALEA)

Mayor Bruchey read a certificate recognizing the City of Hagerstown Police Department for being awarded the Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies, Inc. (CALEA) Accreditation. Effective March 26, 2017, the Hagerstown Police Department has been awarded CALEA Law Enforcement Accreditation eight times. This award may remain in effect for four years and the agency retains all privileges associated with this status during this period.

Annexation – 2009 Salem Ave North LLC (Fulton), Salem Avenue

Kathleen Maher, Director of Planning and Code Administration, and Alex Rohrbaugh, Planner, were present to discuss an annexation request for 2009 Salem Ave North LLC.

The Public Hearing for the proposed annexation was held on March 28, 2017. Staff has not received comment from the County to date. Prior to the Hearing, staff received comments from Maryland Department of Planning concerning Priority Fund Area certification. These comments are of a routine nature. The record was held open for a period of 10 days after the Public Hearing. As of April 12, 2017, staff had not received any written public comment during the period.

No one other than the Applicant's Attorney testified at the Hearing.

As required by State Law, there were two published notices advertising the Hearing at no less than weekly intervals. The Annexation Plan was provided to the appropriate County, Regional, and State Planning Agencies, at least 30 days prior to the Public Hearing. After the Public Hearing, the Mayor and Council may pass or reject the annexation resolution. If the resolution is approved the annexation becomes effective in 45 days.

It was the general consensus to include approval of the annexation resolution on the April 25, 2017 Regular Session agenda.

Rezoning – Old Towne Development LLC, 613 W. Washington Street

Kathleen Maher, Director of Planning and Code Administration, and Alex Rohrbaugh, Planner, were present to discuss a rezoning request for Old Towne Development LLC, 613 West Washington Street.

Old Towne Development LLC has filed an application for rezoning on property located at 613 West Washington Street. The total of the proposed rezoning is approximately 0.21 acres. This property has been zoned R2/RMED since 1997. The property once contained a single-family residential dwelling and still contains a large garage block building, both constructed prior to the City having a zoning ordinance (1956). The dwelling was demolished in 1962, leaving the block building the only structure on the property. #613 and neighboring #607 (also owned by the applicant and zoned CL) have both been under common ownership since the mid-20th Century.

The applicant proposes to rezone the property from RMED (Residential Medium Density) to CL (Commercial Local). If rezoned to CL, the plan is to renovate the building for commercial use.

Under Maryland Law, a piecemeal rezoning of property can be considered only if the applicant can demonstrate that 1) there was a substantial change in the character of the neighborhood where the property is located, or 2) that there was a mistake in the existing zoning classification. The applicant has filed the rezoning based on mistake in the existing zoning classification because the commonly-owned 607-609 W Washington Street (zoned CL) and 613 W Washington Street properties should have been zoned CL together because they have historically been used for a common purpose and that the City did not take this into account during the last Comprehensive Rezoning in 2010. The applicant's complete justification was provided for review.

The Public Review Meeting for this proposal was held on March 29, 2017. At the hearing, the Planning Commission took testimony from the applicant, Jake Baer (Old Towne Development LLC). In his testimony, Mr. Baer mentioned the argument for mistake in the existing zoning classification and discussed a proposed commercial use for the property that would be shared between 613 and 607 (the adjacent, commercially-zoned property also owned by the applicant).

The Commission also took testimony from an adjacent property owner on Madison Avenue. The gentleman stated that he was not opposed to the rezoning to CL; however, he expressed concerns unrelated to the rezoning proposal.

The record was held open for 7 days until April 5, 2017, in which time Staff did not receive any additional public comment.

At its April 5, 2017 meeting, the Planning Commission considered the rezoning proposal and the testimony provided at the Public Review meeting. The Commission

determined in its deliberations that there was a mistake in the existing RMED zoning classification based on the following:

1. The City did not take into account that 607 West Washington Street (zoned CL) and 613 West Washington Street have been and are under common ownership and were historically used together for a common commercial use; and
2. The City did not consider this during the last Comprehensive Rezoning in 2010.

For these reasons, the Planning Commission recommended the property be rezoned to CL based on mistake in the existing zoning classification for the reasons stated above.

A Public Hearing on the rezoning is scheduled for April 25, 2017. Staff also proposes to have the draft ordinance introduced on April 25, 2017. This would expedite the rezoning process by one month while still allowing for public review and comment.

The Mayor and City Council agreed to the schedule presented.

Comprehensive Plan Update

Kathleen Maher, Director of Planning and Code Administration, and Alex Rohrbaugh, Planner, were present to provide an update and overview of the City's Comprehensive Plan update, *visionHagerstown 2035*. Staff is also seeking initial input from the Mayor and Council on the plan's draft goals, issues, policies, and actions so that the Planning Commission can review and incorporate it into the Plan as necessary.

City Planning Staff is working on an update of the City's Comprehensive Plan, which was last adopted in 2008. The Comprehensive Plan is a roadmap for how the city should plan for growth over the next 20 years and establishes a vision of what the community is to be in the future. As its name implies, the Plan covers many topics, including Land Use, Transportation, Housing and Neighborhoods, Community Facilities, Water Resources, Economic Development and Environmental Resources. The Comprehensive Plan identifies issues and opportunities for each of these topics and then identifies policies and recommendations as to how to address them. Issues and opportunities are gathered through Staff analyses as well as input from City boards and commissions, County Planning Commission and staff, business and community groups, and citizens. Much of the Comprehensive Plan will also incorporate previous City plans already vetted through the community, including the 2008 Comprehensive Plan, 2012 Sustainable Community Plan and The Community's City Center Plan. Staff also plan to incorporate, as appropriate, recommendations and conclusions from plans such as the Hagerstown-Eastern Panhandle Metropolitan Planning Organization (HEPMPO)'s 2040 Long-Range Transportation Plan, the City's 2008-2010 Comprehensive Rezonings, and the 2012 County Urban Growth Area Rezonings.

Maryland requires counties and municipalities to have a comprehensive plan, and that they should also be reviewed every 10 years. The Plan is on track for a 60-day clearinghouse review of the Plan (required by State Law) and a Public Review Meeting in Spring, 2017. Once the Planning Commission considers public input and is satisfied that concerns/issues are addressed, they can make a recommendation to Mayor and Council. Staff will then have a Public Hearing with the Mayor and Council during the Summer/Fall, 2017. In accordance with State Law, once the Planning Commission makes their recommendation on *visionHagerstown 2035* the Mayor and Council have 90 days (with one optional 60 day extension) to hold a Public Hearing and take one of three actions – approve, deny, or make changes to be remanded to the Planning Commission. If changes are made, the Planning Commission must review and hold its own Public Hearing before returning the Plan to the Mayor and Council for approval or denial. If the Mayor and Council fails to take any action within 90 days, the Comprehensive Plan is deemed approved under State law.

visionHagerstown 2035 will carry the same basic format as the 2008 Plan. It will be divided into nine elements including: Land Use, Economic Development, Water Resources, Transportation, Downtown, Housing/Neighborhoods, Urban Design/Historic Preservation, Community Facilities, and Environmental Resources and Sustainability. To date, the Planning Commission has reviewed preliminary drafts of all plan elements.

To date, Planning Staff has gathered input from multiple groups that has already been incorporated into the draft Plan. These groups include City staff from Engineering, Department of Community and Economic Development, Utilities, Fire, Police, and Public Works, City Bicycle Advisory Committee, Historic District Commission, City Faith Community Committee, Neighborhoods 1st, County Planning Commission, Board of Education staff, Land Use Council, Convention and Visitors Bureau, and several social service providers.

Planning Staff and Communications Staff have worked together to develop an online survey to gather community input for the broad themes that *visionHagerstown 3025* addresses. Staff have developed five online surveys based on the following themes: Downtown, Economic Development, Transportation and Water Resources, Urban Design and Sustainability, and Housing and Neighborhoods. The format and questions of each survey were modeled after a similar Comprehensive Plan survey from Asheville, NC and include a series of guided questions and statements that allow for interval-style answers with one open-ended feedback question.

The first survey was released in March through the City's social media platforms (Facebook, Twitter), a City-maintained email distribution listserve that should reach over 500 citizens, through the Neighborhoods 1st and Main Street groups, a *visionHagerstown2035* plan webpage on the City's website, as well as a media press release. Each subsequent survey will be released at a rate of one per week and all will continue to be made available through the public review period.

When the 2008 Plan was adopted Hagerstown was coming off one of the largest real estate booms in history; the city gained 3,000 residents during the 2000s. As a result, “growing pains” were felt with respect to rapidly increasing property values, constraints on wastewater facilities, and continued emphasis on new future residential development. Still much of the economic development for the area was occurring outside of the city. While it saw some improvement during the 2000s, Downtown continued to lack the pace of investment that the suburbs and others parts of the city experienced. Realizing that the entire County-established Urban Growth Area (UGA) could not be served by city utilities, the Comprehensive Plan established the Medium-Range Growth Area, which serves as the 20 year extent of utility service outside the city and the limits of annexation.

Challenges faced in Hagerstown since the 2008 Plan was adopted include the national recession, rising unemployment for City residents, commercial development in the city and suburbs slowed considerably, and new residential development nearly came to a standstill. Foreclosures, lack of investment, and blighted properties negatively affect neighborhoods. Downtown investment is progressing, but at a slower pace.

A new future land use plan that is generally consistent with previous City and County comprehensive rezoning, consistent with other city plans developed since 2008, and with more emphasis on business employment has been drafted. The Medium-Range Growth Area (MRGA) has been realigned to include areas around the city where growth is more likely to occur within the next 20 years. The realignment will remove lands that lack infrastructure and are likely to remain agricultural through the next 20 years.

Input from stakeholders in the community will be incorporated into the plan. The response to the survey has been good. More than 1,200 responses have been received. The most popular topics are Downtown and Economic Development. People feel strongly about the lack of diversity and type of jobs in this area. Most responders indicated they would be willing to walk to places to get their daily needs. Concerns noted about downtown include homelessness, concentration of social services, and housing. Most agreed the City’s architecture is important and part of Hagerstown’s heritage.

The Planning Commission will review feedback from this meeting and hold a Review Meeting in July. A joint meeting with the Planning Commission will be scheduled following the Review Meeting. Additional review by the Mayor and City Council will be scheduled as required.

Maryland Theatre Update

Jessica Green, Director of the Maryland Theatre, and Benito Vattelana, President, were present to provide an update on plans at the Theatre.

In 2011, The Maryland Theatre facility was in poor condition – both physically and business wise. Working together as a community, improvements have been made and the

process of revitalization began. Substantial public and private financial support has been provided.

In anticipation of the Theatre's 100th anniversary, a Century Club has been initiated. To date, more than \$ 135,000 has been pledged toward future renovations.

Performances at the Theatre have been increased and expanded. They are looking to expand use of the facility, perhaps for trade shows and similar activities.

The Theatre is an important amenity to the City and the community and is included in the Comprehensive Plan, the City Center Plan, and the Sustainable Communities Plan. Expansion plans include a larger lobby, second concession area, gallery space in the basement, and educational space and a rehearsal area on the third floor. Having space for the Barbara Ingram School for the Arts students to rehearse, rather than in the main theater, will create an opportunity for day events at the theater.

Councilmember Munson stated the first bond bill approved for renovations to the Theatre was approved in the late 1970s. The success of the Theatre has been a result of a lot of people working together.

Hager 5, LLC – Update on Proposed Development Plan for 43/53 West Washington Street

In November of 2016, the Mayor and City Council approved a resolution authorizing the sale of property located at 43-53 West Washington Street. The contract of sale was contingent upon the buyer, Hager 5, LLC, submitting a detailed development plan to the Mayor and City Council by January 31, 2017. A recently executed contract addendum extended the development plan submission deadline to April 30, 2017.

Jonathan Kerns, Community Development Manager, and Greg Snook, Hager 5, LLC, were present to provide an update of the progress of the proposed development plan for 43/53 West Washington Street.

Highlights of the proposed development plan include:

1. Full rehabilitation of 51-53 West Washington Street upper floors (four-story structure currently occupied by the Potomac Bead Company)
2. Demolition of 43-47 West Washington Street (vacant three-story structure)
3. New building construction on 43-47 West Washington Street lot to house commercial/retail space and an indoor pedestrian walkway to connect to the Cultural Trail
4. Projected investment of approximately \$ 1.5 million

Hager 5, LLC continues to work with MSB Architects to finalize conceptual renderings of the planned redevelopment project. Renderings of the proposed new building construction at 43-47 West Washington Street were reviewed.

Mr. Snook indicated the building will be similar in appearance to the USMH building. The tenant will be staying at 51-53 W. Washington Street. The revitalization of this building ties in with the Urban Improvement Project (UIP). The third and fourth floor may be used for some type of student housing. He is encouraged by the things that are happening downtown.

Mr. Snook stated an elevation variance is being worked out for the indoor walkway. Councilmember Aleshire stated this pathway must be inviting and easily accessible. This is a link from Washington Street to the Cultural Trail. Mr. Snook thinks access may be limited in the front. The pathway is still in the planning process. Councilmember Aleshire is concerned that access may be limited, which is not what was intended. He stated the pathway needs to have an open feeling, rather than being totally closed in.

Councilmember Metzner and Councilmember Munson thanked Mr. Snook and the Hager 5, LLC partners for this and other projects in downtown.

Hager 5, LLC will be submitting contract designs soon.

Labor Contract Agreement

Karen Paulson, Director of Human Resources, and Scott Nicewarner, Director of Technology and Support Services, were present to discuss a labor contract with AFSCME 3373 (Sworn Police).

The City's negotiating team has reached agreement on a new contract with the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees Local 3373. This collective bargaining agreement is scheduled to be ratified by the union's membership on Monday, April 24, 2017. If the Mayor and Council find the tentative agreement acceptable, it will be scheduled for formal Mayor and Council approval during the Regular Session on April 25, 2017. Mayor and Council adoption of this collective bargaining agreement is recommended. The following list summarizes the changing provisions.

The major terms of the agreement can be summarized as follows:

1. Term: A term of five years from July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2022 with a reopener limited to wages to be effective for years 4 and 5 of the contract.
2. Elimination of 12 hour shifts: The union agrees to the elimination of 12 hour shifts with the savings of the elimination to partially fund the proposed adjustment to the wage scale.
3. Wages: A new, proposed wage scale removes the years of service "plateaus" where members would have multiple years of stagnant salary before seeing wage increases. The new scale also addresses retention issues with employees who complete their three year service requirement. The new wage scale will

be effective July 3, 2017 to coincide with the move from 12 hour shifts. The proposed wage scale was presented as part of the meeting packet information.

4. Lateral Transfers: Currently, experienced officers hired must come in at the beginning of the pay scale. The Union has agreed to allow the City to hire these individuals at any step on the scale based on their years of lateral service. This will be a positive recruitment tool for the City and will make the City a more competitive agency.
5. Healthcare: The Union agrees to reduce defined contribution stipend from \$400 to \$350 as recommended by the Health Care Committee. The union also agreed to remove existing language that froze health premiums in order to allow enhancement of under 65 retiree preventive services.

Other minor provisions were mentioned and can be found in the meeting packet information.

The cost of the FY18 wage enhancement is within the parameters of the proposed wage contingency total of \$ 727,504 included in the proposed FY18 budget.

Councilmember Aleshire asked if this contract make officers whole, as they've requested. Ms. Paulson indicated by the end of the second year, officers will be at the appropriate place on the previous scale.

Councilmember Aleshire asked what amount is allotted for retention efforts. Ms. Paulson will obtain this information.

Councilmember Aleshire asked if this settlement will be an issue for other union groups who have a "me too" clause. Ms. Paulson indicated the negotiating team does not think it will be.

Councilmember Aleshire asked for a copy of the full proposed contract in order to compare it to the current contract. He asked about specific sections of the contract. It was noted the wage plateaus do not exist in the new contract. The timing of increases is to keep from splitting a pay period. Mr. Nicewarner stated he doesn't think removing the plateaus will significantly impact future years.

Councilmember Aleshire asked what impact this contract would have on the budget in future years if there is a 5.5 cent tax rate increase in FY18. Michelle Hepburn, Director of Finance, indicated a deficit is projected for future years. Ms. Means pointed out revenue enhancements had not been included for future years.

Councilmember Metzner wondered if this contract addresses the retention issues. Chief Brito indicated he anticipates this wage scale will help.

Consent Agenda

- A. Community and Economic Development:
 - 1. Application Permit for MSO 35th Anniversary Celebration – May 13, 2017

- B. Fire Department:
 - 1. Rescue Lifting Equipment for Truck 4 – Fire & Rescue Products (Harrisburg, PA) \$ 14,755.00
 - 2. Hydraulic Equipment for Truck 4 – Municipal Emergency Services, Inc. (Lanham, MD) \$ 49,900.00
 - 3. Purchase of F-250 Pick-up Truck – McCafferty Ford (Mechanicsburg, PA) \$ 63,572.85

- C. Police:
 - 1. Building Renovations – Criminal Investigative Division – Milton Stamper Builders (Hagerstown, MD) \$ 39,098.00

- D. Public Works:
 - 1. Emergency Repair: Fuel Island – Spigler Petroleum Equipment, LLC (Westminster, MD) \$ 20,130.00

- E. Utilities:
 - 1. Water: Meters – HD Supply Waterworks (Martinsburg, WV) \$ 12,500.00
 - 2. Water: Meters – L/B Water Service, Inc. (Chambersburg, PA) \$ 31,800.00
 - 3. Wastewater: Compact Utility Tractor – Smith’s Implements, Inc. (Hagerstown, MD) \$ 36,782.75

This completed the Preliminary Agenda review. There were no additional comments or questions regarding the items on the Preliminary Agenda. All items are scheduled for approval on April 25, 2017.

Roadside Memorials

Eric Deike, Director of Public Works, reported staff was recently directed to remove two memorials that had been erected in the public rights-of-way by private citizens. These memorials, like most, included religious symbols and flowers. These can sometimes include other personal effect or mementos.

Staff certainly is not looking to be insensitive to those either placing the memorial items or to the memory of the families to which the memorial is directed. There is certainly a time and place for remembering those that have lost their life. These items can sometimes interfere with routine maintenance (mowing for example) or safety (scaling a utility pole).

While there is probably no standard time for mourning the loss of a loved one, there may need to be a set period of time of displaying that loss to the public by placing items within a right-of-way. Staff would like direction from the Mayor and Council on what would be considered an appropriate amount of time, if any, before removing the items.

These memorials probably qualify as “signs” per the definition set forth in the City Code in §204-4. The Land Management Code does not typically regulate items within the public right-of-way. The sign regulations in Article 4 of said code were not intended to address the signs (or other items) within the street right-of-way. The de facto policy is that nothing is to be placed, installed or mounted within a public right-of-way.

For example, political signs or business signs planted within a traffic island or clearly on city property are removed as they are found (for all intents and purposes, “immediately”). The signs are also removed if placed within a tree pit in the downtown. The signs are generally left untouched if placed behind a sidewalk even if it lies within a public right-of-way.

City Attorney Jason Morton states “A temporary private sign is not permitted within the public right-of-way, unless it is specifically permitted under the Land Management Code. If it is permitted under the Land Management Code, then its duration is limited to 5 days.

The City would be within their rights under the Code to remove the memorials immediately. However, these memorials elicit strong emotions towards city staff when the items are removed. It has been the unwritten policy of Public Works staff to leave the memorials in place until they have been voluntarily removed.

No new code or ordinance would necessarily have to be written if the city follows the City Code and Land Management Code. The memorials could legally be removed. They could also be allowed to remain for a period of time if permitted by the Mayor and Council. Any other action may require revisions to the code.

Councilmember Aleshire stated it is straight forward and there are regulations and guidelines in place for items in the public right-of-way. He suggested City staff remove these types of items while they are performing their duties in those areas. The memorials can sometimes become a safety issue.

Councilmember Munson understands the emotional view of this. Memorials should be in good taste, if not they should be removed. He suggested allowing the memorials to remain in place for 30 days.

Councilmember Keller noted some jurisdictions do have time limits on memorials. She thinks it would be difficult to track the amount of time they are in place.

Mayor Bruchey stated memorials should not be placed on someone else’s property.

Councilmember Metzner recommended that items interfering with routine City maintenance be removed when necessary. Otherwise, the time limit for memorials should be 30 days. If a memorial is on private property, the owner can keep it in place for as long as they wish.

It was agreed that 30 days will be the maximum amount of time for a memorial to be in place; unless it is interfering with routine maintenance.

FY 2017/18 Budget Review

Michelle Hepburn, Director of Finance, was present to continue review of the FY2018 proposed budget. Wage enhancements for the General Fund total \$ 675,209. Some current staff will be impacted by the Federal increase in the minimum wage. All wage increases impact the employers' share of contributions.

Councilmember Aleshire stated it is important to keep in mind that FY19 is projected to have a large deficit from the beginning of the budget process. Revenue is lost with a 3.2% decrease in assessed value of real property. He noted the largest source of revenue (property tax) does not cover the largest expense (wages and benefits).

Ms. Hepburn stated review of the budget will continue. Since the proposed budget includes a tax rate increase (above the Constant Yield Tax Rate), the required notices will be published next week. The rate can be changed in the proposed budget as the review continues.

Councilmember Aleshire noted solutions need to be offered if a tax rate is not supported.

Councilmember Metzner stated he does not support layoffs but in order to make meaningful cuts, personnel costs have to be discussed. If other Councilmembers want to defer purchases in order to avoid a tax rate increase, he would support it. However, deferring purchases makes it more expensive in the future. The labor contracts can't be covered without raising taxes or laying off other employees.

Councilmember Munson stated Hagerstown can't be successful without raising additional revenue.

Councilmember Corderman stated higher taxes will drive people away from Hagerstown.

Councilmember Keller stated there are two choices – reduce expenses or raise taxes.

Councilmember Aleshire stated the proposed tax rate increase will not necessarily raise the tax amount on some properties because of lower assessments. He noted this is true for his property.

There was considerable discussion about how to reduce expenses. One suggestion was to reduce expenses across all departments, except for Police and Fire. Ms. Means stated rather than an across the board reduction, direction from Council about what services they are willing to eliminate would be more productive.

Councilmember Metzner suggested reviewing repetitive subsidies for future budgets.

Ms. Hepburn noted that the rating agencies have both inquired how the City plans to maintain the OPEB liability. Reducing the funding to this liability could have a negative impact on bond ratings. The City is restricted in its ability to move money between funds.

If the Mayor and Council wish to reduce the proposed tax rate increase, staff noted vehicle purchases are already being deferred. Additional reductions in expenses would have to come from services provided.

Councilmember Aleshire stated the City has the ability to set the tax rate to various types of property. He wondered if a utility tax rate would be a possibility. Stormwater Management fees, like trash fees, could be applied on a per unit basis. He thinks stormwater fees could be included in the FY18 budget. He has not been supportive of the labor contracts as they have been proposed, specifically those with the “me too” clause. The ordinance outlining the process for binding arbitration has not been presented yet. He understands the union reps want to co-author the ordinance.

Ms. Means indicated a draft ordinance mentioned by Councilmember Aleshire will be presented to the Mayor and Council for review in May.

Councilmember Munson noted voters said they supported a tax increase when they voted for binding arbitration in November.

Ms. Means stated the wage enhancements will not be removed from the proposed budget because negotiations on the labor contracts are too far along, and it would be detrimental to the good faith negotiating process.

Councilmember Aleshire stated he will not vote in favor of a budget with full wages, which sets up significant deficits in future years.

Councilmember Corderman stated he would not vote for a budget with any tax rate increase.

Mayor Bruchey stated he emailed a stop gap solution of a 10% reduction in General Fund expenses, except for police and fire.

Ms. Hepburn indicted staff is researching a multi-tier tax rate.

WORK SESSION
2017

APRIL 18,

MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

HAGERSTOWN, MARYLAND

A majority of the Council agreed to propose a tax rate equal to the Constant Yield Tax Rate. Staff was asked to make this change and offer suggestions to reduce expenses to match the rate.

CITY ADMINISTRATOR'S COMMENTS

Valerie Means, City Administrator had no additional comments.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL COMMENTS

Councilmember D. F. Munson had no additional comments.

Councilmember L. C. Metzner had no additional comments.

Councilmember P. D. Corderman had no additional comments.

Councilmember K. B. Aleshire had no additional comments.

Councilmember E. Keller had no additional comments.

Mayor R. E. Bruchey, II had no additional comments.

There being no further business to come before the Mayor and City Council, on a motion duly made, seconded, and passed, the meeting was adjourned at 6:53 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Original signed by D. K. Spickler

Donna K. Spickler
City Clerk

Approved: May 23, 2017